

# Case Brief

Generated March 30, 2026 | law.unykorn.org

## Attorney Memo Section: Incident Sequence and Reliability Analysis

### Issue Presented

Whether the available account, witness sequence, and referenced video support a defense position that Marquis Delcampo acted in response to force initiated and escalated by the Uber driver.

### Factual Sequence (Working Summary)

1. The ride allegedly deviated from the requested destination.
2. The driver allegedly reached into the backseat and initiated physical contact.
3. The driver allegedly attempted to pull Marquis from the vehicle.
4. The confrontation continued outside the vehicle.
5. The driver allegedly returned to the vehicle, retrieved an umbrella, and advanced while swinging it.
6. Marquis is described as responding defensively throughout the interaction.

### Analysis Notes

- \* Sequence is central to aggressor determination and any self-defense framework.
- \* The record should distinguish initial contact from subsequent defensive conduct.
- \* Physical size or relative strength should not substitute for sequence-based aggressor analysis.

### Reliability and Record Integrity Questions

- \* Did officers review complete footage or only selected clips?
- \* Were all witnesses identified, contacted, and documented?
- \* Were Uber route coordinates, stop logs, and trip metadata subpoenaed or requested?
- \* Does the incident report accurately preserve chronology?
- \* Are there report omissions concerning the alleged umbrella use and re-engagement?

### Action Items for Counsel Team

1. Build a second-by-second chronology from full video.
2. Cross-reference each chronology segment against police report language.
3. Obtain and preserve Uber trip data and route logs.
4. Prepare impeachment matrix for narrative inconsistencies.
5. Tie each discrepancy to legal significance (probable cause, self-defense, credibility).

### Draft Conservative Conclusion

The available account and referenced evidence support a materially different incident narrative from a one-sided aggressor characterization. At minimum, the identified sequence and potential omissions warrant independent forensic review of video, complete witness development, and full examination of ride-data records before accepting the arrest narrative as reliable.